

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,776	10/12/2001		Hendrikus Jan Kapaan	110748	7096
7590 01/16/2004			EXAMINER		
Oliff & Berridge PO Box 18928				SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
Alexandria, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3683	
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/937,776	KAPAAN ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensio fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensic fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Robert A. Siconolfil Examiner Art Unit: 3683				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that Fox is not in the same pertinent art as Schmitt or the instant invention. This is not correct. Claim 1 recites an actuator. Both Schmitt and Fox are actuators and are therefore in the same pertinent art. It is suggested to the applicant that claim 1 should be amended to be directed towards the brake arts solely. Applicants further argue that there would be no reasonable expectation of success. The examiner disagrees. Applicants ba their arguments on the actual physical incorporation of Fox into Schmitt. Physical incorporation is not a requirement of a 103 rejection. Combination merely replaces one gear system with another and therefore there is a reasonable expectation of success. Applicants argue that there is no teaching of the limitation of the ring gear being inetgrated with the screw. The examiner disagrees. In Fox, the gear ring integrated with the gear ring. The motor 3 of fox drives the shaft 4 which in turn drives the gear wheel 8. Gear wheel 8 drives the gear r 10 which drives the screw 12. ...